

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

		26 February 1958	
MEMORANDUM FOR: SUBJECT:	Director of Training Weekly Report #9 Assessment and Evaluat 19-25 February 1958	Document No. NO CHANGE in Class. DECLASSIFIED Class. CHANGE TO: TS S DEA Mino, 4 Apr 77 Auth: LOA THE 77/1763 Date: 1-3 MAR 1978	
I. SIGNIFICANT	TTTTMS		
l. On 25 Fe to the administr secretary relati meetings for the by administrative s professional sta OTR. While futu	chiese the control of the conships. This was the administrative staff, These meetings are taff with a better under the constant of the role of the re plans are still in the control of th	f, Clerical Training, talked Staff. Her topic was boss- second in a series of planned the first one being presented being held to provide the erstanding of the work of the secretary, and of the work of the formulation, the success program seem worthwhile.	
II. OTHER ACTIVI	TIES.		
on the test perr wanted this info for NSA are "goo We are concerned Aside from the p partially duplic tion whether PPD the basis of ima-	PPD has recently a cormance of J.C. candidarmation in order to ded denough" to follow up about some of the imploreblem of our providing ate our service to Chie in effect would be recomplete information (NS hief, JOTP of an opport	Lications of such requests. Is a service which would If, JOTP, it raises the quespecting J.O. applicants on If intellectual tests only) Counity to review the complete	25X
feed-back in future operations of representative	and his recruited to the second term on 25 February. It is of PPD, JOTP, and A& out a feasible solution	conable that some kind of ers for their general guidance dis- was proposed that a meeting to be held to explore possition. connel Officer, has requested	25X
	on the Logistics Offic	e's rating system for their	

competitive promotion program. The system is a very complex one,

SUBJECT: Weekly Report #9

with points assigned for Fitness Report evaluations, pre-Agency experience and education, time in grade, Agency training, and other items. We shall probably reply questioning the large weight given to Fitness Report evaluations in view of the demonstrably different standards among raters, pointing out the doubtful relevance of certain provisions (e.g., a Ph.D. in music gets as much credit as any possible amount of Agency-sponsored internal and external training), and suggesting that a simpler procedure using independent judgments of persons familiar with the individual's performance might be better than a mechanical adding-up of points from the personnel file.

4. Two JOT applicants were interviewed this week.

Professional Applicant Test Battery (PATB) was "not recommended." This was confirmed by our interview.

a very immature young man whose motivation for coming into the Agency now is low. Of five possibilities he is considering, the Agency was number 5 at the time of interview.

25X1

25X1

on PATB was "above average." This recommendation based unchanged after interview. Although he is somewhat vocationally confused at the moment, he has ability and appears to have good developmental potential.

discussed with our staff by raised the question of the applicability of this technique to other Agency situations than assessment. In its present form, the technique is useful only for a particular kind of intensive psychological appraisal. However, it seems to offer possibilities for adaptation to other uses, including, perhaps, personnel placement people and field case officers. These possibilities will be discussed with during his future visits.

6. We continue to get calls from various components for information on JOT "rejects." For example, this week four such

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

W.

Approved For Release 2004/02/0**SFCM FI**DP60-00594A000300110008-4

SUBJECT:	Weekly	Report	#9
----------	--------	--------	----

25X1

25X1 25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

	25X1
7. POD/OP, called for detailed information on a new ORR employee whom she is planning to see shortly for a routine "one-month-aboard" interview. The individual in question was "flagged" in our routine EOD review process. wanted our information in conjunction with her interview and subsequent follow-up.	25X1
8. Chief, Assessment Branch, participated in a conference concerning JOT, A&E information was presented to Chief, JOTP and Chief, It was clear that was not suitable for the assignment IO and in mind for him.	25X1
has introduced into the CSR course a panel discussion hour for the purpose of providing variation and stimulation to the lecture series. The first discussion section took place on 24 February and proved to be most effective. Of the 29 students present, 17 participated in the discussion by giving comments and asking questions on the policy and plans subjects which had been discussed during the first day and a half of the course. There were 39 separate responses by the students during the first hour. All the students appeared to be highly interested in the discussion. It is anticipated that this discussion period, introduced earlier in the course, will stimulate the thinking of the students in the course objectives and subject matter. Also, it is anticipated that the students will get to know one another better and participate with greater ease all during the course than if the straight lecture technique were in use. introduced the discussion periods upon the suggestion after he had attended the last session of CSR.	25X1
III. PERSONNEL NOTES.	
1returned to duty after a week of illness. 2reported for duty on 25 February. She has been scheduled for the IOC beginning on 3 March.	
yisited Headquarters 24-25 February, to attend the monthly meeting of the Admin. Staff, and to assist Admin. Assistant, TEB, in processing evaluation material.	
	25X1
Acting Unier, Assessment and Evaluation	25X1